August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1 of 2

2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: BURLESON ISD

CROSSROADS HIGH SCHOOL Grade Span: 09 - 12 Campus Name:

Campus Number: 126902003 % At-Risk: 99

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	District	All	African			Econ
	At-Risk	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Disad
exas Assessment of Knowledge and	Skills (TA	KS) Progre	ss (Grades	3-12)		
Analysis Groups Evaluated						
2006-07 Progress Measure		x			Х	
# Tests Met Standard	3,678	111	*	*	94	*
# Tests	4,557	125	*	*	106	*
% Met Standard	81%	89%	*	85%	89%	> 99%
Student Group %	n/a	100%	*	*	85%	/ JJ% *
student Group %	II/a	100%	•	•	03%	•
2005-06 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	3,467	85	*	*	73	9
# Tests	4,358	106	*	*	94	12
% Met Standard	80%	80%	*	*	78%	75%
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	:?					
Actual Change	1	9	*	*	11	*
Improvement Required	_	_	_	_		_
Met Required Improvement?						
tate-Developed Alternative Assess	sment II (S	DAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
tate-Developed Alternative Assess Analysis Groups Evaluated	sment II (S	DAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results		DAA II)(Gr				
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a	DAA II)(Gr	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests	n/a n/a	DAA II)(Gr - -	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a	DAA II)(Gr - - -	n/a			
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests	n/a n/a	-	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a	-	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results	n/a n/a n/a n/a	<u>-</u>	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a		n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a	- - - *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	- - - *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	- - - *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement Met Minimum Size Requirements Actual Change	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	- - - *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement Met Minimum Size Requirements	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	- - * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a

^{&#}x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

^{&#}x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.
Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2 of 2

2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: BURLESON ISD

Campus Name: CROSSROADS HIGH SCHOOL Grade Span: 09 - 12

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	ratings are marked with an 'X'. District All African					
	At-Risk		American	Hispanic	White	Econ Disady
	AC-KIBK	beauenes	American	птараптс	WIIICE	DIBAGU
mpletion Rate II (Grades 9-12)						
Analysis Groups Evaluated		x			x	
Class of 2006						
# Completers	144	47	*	*	42	*
# Non-completers	29	7	*	*	7	*
# in Class	173	54	*	3	49	4
Completion Rate	83.2%	87.0%	*	*	85.7%	*
Student Group %	n/a	100%	2%	6%	91%	7%
Class of 2005						
# Completers	143	29	0	4	25	,
# in Class	154	33	0	5	28	,
Completion Rate	92.9%	87.9%	-	80.0%	89.3%	*
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	:?					
Actual Change	-9.7	-0.9	*	*	-3.6	*
<pre>Improvement Required Met Required Improvement?</pre>	-	-	-	-	-	-
Decreases in completion rates may beginning with the 2005-06 school		o significa	ant changes	in the dro	pout def	inition
nnual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)						
Analysis Groups Evaluated		x			x	
Analysis Gloups Evaluated						
2005-06						
-	n/a	13	*	1	12	1
2005-06	n/a n/a	13 143	*	1 11	12 130	
2005-06 # Dropouts	,	-		_		1 14 7.1%

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

^{&#}x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

^{&#}x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.